

No. ID/FD/143/82/35652.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajender Singh and the management of M/s Rajasthan Printing Press 1-C-33 N. I. T. Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Dispute Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether the termination of service of Rajender Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 3rd August, 1982

No. ID/HSR/44/82/35950.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Jagdish Chander and the management of Haryana Roadways, Sirsa, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab/70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman, for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jagdish Chander was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/159/82/35956.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Amin and the management of M/s. Prakash Enterprises, 337/D, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the [dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Amin was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/162/82/35963.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman, Shri Dharam Raj Singh and the management of M/s. Adarsh Fabricators, Plot No. 212, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workmen for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dharam Raj Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?